

**Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board  
Master's Level Psychology Advisory Committee Meeting  
August 6, 2025**

**DRAFT Minutes**

- I. **Call to Order.** The meeting was called to order by David Anderson, Chair of the Advisory Committee, at 1:00 p.m.  
  
**Master's Level Psychology Advisory Committee Members.** Advisory Committee Members who participated by Zoom or phone were David Anderson, David Stevens, Ginger Hill, Rebecca Jabara, Lauren Lucht, Sarah McMillen, Iris Pauly, Robert Pedroza, Jennifer Schreiner, and Elizabeth Burch. Bruce Johnson was absent.  
  
**BSRB Staff.** BSRB staff members who participated by Zoom included David Fye.
- II. **Review and Approval of the Agenda or Additions to the Agenda.** Rebecca Jabara moved to approve the agenda as written. Sarah McMillen seconded. The motion passed.
- III. **Welcome New Advisory Committee Member.** The Advisory Committee welcomed new member Elizabeth Burch, who introduced herself and provided her background.
- IV. **Short Summary of Advisory Committee Member Expectations.** The Executive Director provided Advisory Committee members with a brief summary of Advisory Committee member expectations, including KORA and KOMA descriptions.
- V. **Review and Approval of Minutes from Advisory Committee Meeting on June 4, 2025.** Lauren Lucht moved to approve the minutes from the Advisory Committee meeting on December 4, 2024. Robert Pedroza seconded. The motion passed.
- VI. **Executive Director's Report.** The Executive Director reported on agency operations, legislative updates, recent Board meetings, and upcoming meetings.
- VII. **Old Business.**
  - A. **Continued Review and Discussion on Draft Survey Report of Licensees.** The Executive Director previously asked the Advisory Committee to be prepared to discuss the responses to two survey questions at this meeting, so the Advisory Committee reviewed the survey responses to practice-related negative issues and issues involving supervision.
    - i. **Practice-Related Negative Issues (Page 16 and Pages 43-51).** Roughly 39 percent of LMLPs who answered this question reported seeing no areas requiring more education or training. Some individuals noted licensees needing more education or training in areas included telehealth, suicide prevention, addiction. Other negative issues included there being a lack of

recognition for the profession, as well as difficulty with insurance reimbursements. LCPs reported on several training needs, including diagnosis, testing, and suicide prevention. LCPs also reported wanting more frequent communication from the BSRB. As a result, the Executive Director notified Advisory Committee members that the BSRB is working to develop a newsletter.

- ii. **Negative Issues Involving Supervision (Page 19 and Pages 57-59).** Of the 102 LMLPs who answered this question, 85 of them reported having experienced no negative issues involving supervision. Issues that were reported included the cost to obtain supervision and the lack of available supervisors. The Executive Director noted that supervision can be done via televideo. The Executive Director stated that it would be worth looking into making and providing a list of available supervisors for those seeking supervision. Moving onto LCP responses, 88 percent reported having experienced no negative issues involving supervision. A repeated negative issue reported concerned instances where supervisees are unable to pass the EPPP.
- iii. **Next Meeting.** For the next Advisory Committee meeting, the Executive Director asked that Advisory Committee members review the questions pertaining to artificial intelligence and issues concerning telehealth and be ready to discuss the results.

**B. Continued Discussion on Regulation of Artificial Intelligence.** One question regarding the regulation of artificial intelligence (AI) is whether to regulate AI itself or to regulate practitioners' conduct as it relates to using AI. Two crucial aspects involved in using AI are informed consent and preserving confidentiality. This item will be discussed more at the next meeting after reviewing the survey question relating to AI.

## **VIII. New Business**

**A. Discussion on Alternative Pathways for Licensure.** The Executive Director stated that the main alternate pathway to licensure being explored is one that may not involve the passage of a licensing examination. The Advisory Committee Chair expressed the idea of requiring additional supervision as an alternative to a licensing examination. Another potential pathway mentioned concerned the idea of allowing LMLPs to become LCPs without having to pass a test after practicing for a certain number of years. Advisory Committee members expressed concerns about whether not requiring an examination might delegitimize the profession or lead to individuals in the field practicing in an unsafe manner. This item will be discussed further after the Executive Director receives additional information from other states that license psychologists at the master's level.

**IX. Possible Additional Agenda Items.** No additional agenda items were added.

**X. Next Meeting:** Wednesday, October 1, 2025, at 1 pm over Zoom.

**XI. Future Agenda Topics.**

**A. Annual Training for Board and Advisory Committee Members.** The Executive Director noted that rather than hold trainings at each Advisory Committee meeting, he would attempt to identify four times and ask all Advisory Committee members to sign up to attend one of those times.

**B. Review of Regulations.** The Executive Director informed Advisory Committee members that at the next meeting, they will begin discussion a number of short regulations to see if those regulations are in need of updates.

**XII. Adjournment.** Sarah McMillen moved to adjourn the meeting. Lauren Lucht seconded. The meeting was adjourned.

DRAFT