

**BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES REGULATORY BOARD
LICENSED PSYCHOLOGY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING
OCTOBER 14, 2025**

DRAFT

- I. Call to Order.** Richard Nobles, Chair of the Advisory Committee, called the meeting to order at 6 p.m.
- Committee Members.** Advisory Committee members present by Zoom were Richard Nobles, Linda Heitzman-Powell David Stevens, Jennifer Fontaine, Edward Hunter, Tiffany Johnson, Sarah Kirk, Christopher Leonard, Jay Middleton, Robert Twillman, and Edward Hunter. Jessica Hamilton was absent.
- BSRB Staff.** David Fye and Leslie Allen were present via Zoom.
- II. Agenda Approval.** Linda Heitzman-Powell moved to approve the agenda. Jay Middleton seconded. The motion passed.
- III. Review and Approval of Minutes from Previous Advisory Committee Meeting on August 12, 2025.** Sarah Kirk moved to approve the minutes. Christopher Leonard seconded. The motion passed.
- IV. Executive Director Report.** David Fye, Executive Director for the Behavioral Sciences Regulatory (BSRB), provided a report on agency activities, actions from recent Board meetings, and legislative updates.
- V. Update on PSYPACT Multi-State Compact.** The Chair, who also serves as the Kansas Commissioner for PSYPACT, will be attending the Annual Meeting for PSYPACT on November 16-17, 2025, in Tucson, Arizona.
- VI. Old Business**
- A. Continued Review and Discussion of Draft Survey Report for Licenses.**
The Advisory Committee members were previously asked to review and be prepared to discuss two questions at this Advisory Committee meeting, including a question on negative practice-related issues and a question on negative issues involving supervision.
- i. The Committee discussed *Question 15: "Over the past two years, have you experienced any negative issues involving supervision?"* (page 10). Advisory Committee members discussed the perceived lack of competency and maturity among current interns and trainees in comparison to previous cohorts. There is an interest in evaluating the experiences and observations from practicum and entry-level students. Additionally, there was an exploration of the possible disconnect arising from differing generational values.

- ii. The Committee discussed *Question 13: "Over the past two years, have you experienced any issues concerning telehealth, either through professional practice or observations of other practitioners?"* (page 9). The Advisory Committee deliberated potential actions to enhance public protection. A discussion was held regarding the appropriate measures to take in situations where a client is in a non-private setting, as well as the necessary questions that should be asked in such instances.
- iii. The Committee discussed *Question 16: "Do you currently use artificial intelligence (AI) in your practice? If you do, in what areas do you use AI?"* (page 11). A discussion was held regarding the ethical implications of clients being unable to discern between interactions with artificial intelligence and those with a human. This raises concerns about potential deception. Additionally, there are issues related to HIPAA compliance when private information is entered into AI systems, as well as the risks associated with excessive reliance on the capabilities of AI technology. It has been proposed that the Ethical AI initiative be reviewed for guidance. In some jurisdictions, it is prohibited to utilize terms such as "Clinician" unless it is used by a human practitioner. The Coalition for Health AI (CHAI) is a resource to help promote and design responsible healthcare AI products.

B. Continued Discussion on Regulation of Artificial Intelligence. Due to time constraints, this topic will be discussed at the next meeting.

C. Continued Discussion on Possible Change to Post-Doc Year Requirement. Due to time constraints, this topic will be discussed at the next meeting.

D. Continued Discussion on Alternative Pathways to Licensure. Due to time constraints, this topic will be discussed at the next meeting.

VII. New Business

A. Recommendation for New Advisory Committee Member. There is currently one vacancy on the Advisory Committee. The Advisory Committee reviewed previous candidates and recommended that the Chair of the Board appoint Dr. Daniel Garlock to the Advisory Committee. This recommendation will be forwarded to the Chair of the Board for consideration.

B. Regulation Review. The Executive Director asked Advisory Committee members to review and be ready to discuss whether certain regulations need updates or changes or whether they are suitable to remain the same after review.

Leslie Allen, Assistant Director and Licensing Manager for the BSRB, proposed the following possible changes to the regulations:

i. **K.A.R 102-1-3a Application for Licensure by Examination; Application for Temporary License.**

- In section (b), the language needs to be updated as the BSRB is moving away from paper forms and (b)(1)(C) should be removed. When the regulation was written, the title board-approved reference forms was used for a variety of forms.
 - In sections (c) and (d), the word “reference” should be removed and it should state attestations or board approved forms.
 - Section (e)(1) should be stricken, as the BSRB is moving away from paper forms.
 - Section (e)(2) should specify a post-doctoral supervision plan form approved by the Board.
 - In section (e)(5), the language needs updated and the word “references” should be changed to “attestations.”
 - A question was posed to the Advisory Committee, whether a 1,800 predoctoral internship is required or optional.
 - A question was posed to the Advisory Committee, whether doctoral practicum hours can be counted toward the two-year total supervised experience required to become a licensed psychologist.
- Final recommendations for this regulation were tabled due to time constraints, but the Advisory Committee was asked to review the regulation and bring back any possible changes.

ii. **K.A.R. 102-1-3b.**

- In section (b), the language needs to be updated as the BSRB is moving away from paper forms.
 - The rest of the regulation needs to be revised to reflect the new statutory requirements for reciprocity. The language referencing five years should be stricken. New language needs to be added to replace the five-year requirements with, “registration, certification or licensure as a psychologist at the doctoral level with a similar scope of practice for at least 12 months immediately preceding the application.”
- By consensus, the Advisory Committee recommended the proposed changes and recommended changes will be forwarded to the Board for consideration.

iii. **K.A.R. 102-1-4.**

- In section (a), the language currently states the pass point is 70 percent correct, but it is proposed to change to a pass point of 500.
 - It is proposed to strike sections(b)(2), (c), (d), (e), (f), and (g)(2). The Advisory Committee would like to add language that clarifies accommodations are provided by the testing company.
- By consensus, the Advisory Committee recommended the proposed changes and recommended changes will be forwarded to the Board for consideration.

- iv. **K.A.R. 102-1-6.** It was proposed to revoke the regulation as the Board does not approve everyone who gets licensed.
By consensus, the Advisory Committee recommended the proposed changes and recommended changes will be forwarded to the Board for consideration.

VIII. Next Advisory Committee Meeting Date. The next meeting will be on Tuesday, December 09, 2025, at 6 pm.

IX. Adjournment. David Stevens moved to Adjourn. Linda Heitzman-Powell seconded the motion. The motion passed.

DRAFT