

KANSAS BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES REGULATORY BOARD

Eisenhower State Office Building
700 SW Harrison Street, Suite 420
Topeka, Kansas 66603-3240

In the Matter of)
)
Sindhuja Fedosyuk,)
)
LPC License No. 2476)
)

Case No. 26-PC-0031

Pursuant to (K.S.A. 77-536)

EMERGENCY PROCEEDING ORDER OF REVOCATION

The Complaint Review Committee of the Kansas Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board (the "Board") has received sufficient evidence demonstrating that Respondent Sindhuja Fedosyuk currently represents an immediate danger to the public health, safety or welfare requiring immediate agency action. After reviewing its administrative records and otherwise being duly advised in the premises, the Board finds that this Emergency Proceeding Order of Revocation is necessary in accordance with K.S.A. 77-536 to prevent or avoid immediate danger to the public health, safety or welfare. In support thereof, the Board makes the following findings of fact, conclusions of law, and orders.

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. The Board is the duly constituted and acting agency of the State of Kansas authorized to administer and enforce the provisions of the Kansas Professional Counselors Licensure Act, K.S.A. 65-5801 *et seq.*, and amendments thereto (the "Act").
2. Respondent Sindhuja Fedosyuk ("Respondent") is an individual with a last known mailing address of 14866 S. Summit St., Olathe, KS 66062
3. At all times relevant hereto, Respondent has held a license as a Licensed Professional Counselor ("LPC") within the meaning of the Act. Respondent has never been licensed as a Licensed clinical professional counselor. Respondent's LPC license only authorizes Respondent to engage in the practice of professional counseling under the direction of a licensee licensed at the clinical level by the Board or other person holding licensure as identified in K.S.A. 65-5802(f).
4. The Board received three (3) separate reports of alleged violations ("RAVs") relating to Respondent and raising serious concerns regarding Respondent's mental health, ability to safely practice and threat to the public resulting from Respondent's continued practice of professional counseling.

5. On August 20, 2025, the Board received an RAV from GW, who holds a professional license from the Board and is a friend of Respondent. In relevant part, the RAV provided specific concerns regarding the wellbeing and ability of Respondent to practice, that Respondent has displayed erratic, impulsive and risky behavior, her thought process is disorganized, chaotic and difficult to follow, including [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The RAV specifically provided that:

- a. Respondent has been seeing clients in her home without direction from a clinical supervisor;
- b. Respondent and her minor child moved into the house of a therapy client in July of 2025;
- c. Respondent engaged in disturbing conduct to include asking clients for Adderall;
- d. Identifying a social media post which alleged that Respondent solicits pills from her clients and that Respondent is addicted to methamphetamine
- e. Respondent has claimed that her phone is electrocuting and shocking her; and
- f. Respondent has claimed that she has been getting 100 bites from a brown recluse spider while sleeping overnight in her car and was admitted to the ER due to the bites.

6. On August 26, 2025, the Board received an RAV from AB, a former client of Respondent who had been a client of Respondent's for approximately three (3) years. The RAV provided that Respondent had moved her therapy practice from a professional office into Respondent's home one and a half (1 ½) years ago and had displayed compulsive behaviors such as rigorous cleaning between clients, wet surfaces, overly slick floors from cleaning products and frequent late starts due to Respondent showering between therapy sessions.

7. In mid-2024, Respondent began providing couples therapy to AB and AB's partner, during which Respondent engaged in triangulation, pitting AB and AB's partner against each other and had disclosed confidential information to AB from therapy sessions with AB's partner.

8. On July 18, 2025, Respondent began residing in AB's home. AB invited Respondent to move into the home after learning that Respondent had been ill, had lost access to her own home due to habitability concerns and that Respondent was living in hotels with her minor child. During the short time that Respondent lived with AB, Respondent displayed increasing erratic and concerning behavior to include episodes of loud yelling and prolonged verbal altercations with her minor child, hours-long showers followed by panic attacks, crying and excessive scratching. Obsessive cleaning despite no visible need to do so and arriving with little belongings for her minor child to include a few clothes and one pair of shoes.

9. On July 23, 2025, AB drove Respondent and her minor child to the Olathe Police department, after learning that the police were searching for Respondent. There, AB learned that full custody had been awarded to the minor child's father due to the inability to reach

Respondent and concerns about the condition of Respondent's home. Thereafter, Respondent became increasingly hostile to AB, reported bugs and spiders in her bedroom which were in reality paint chips and dirt, and claimed to need to constantly wash her clothing due to "infestations."

10. On July 30, 2025, AB discovered water leaking into the basement from a shower or bathtub, a strong odor of chemical fumes in the air due to obsessive cleaning, and more than a dozen empty bottles of cleaner, bug bombs and bottles of alcohol in Respondent's trash can.

11. During the course of events described by AB relating to Respondent's increasingly erratic and concerning behavior, Respondent was providing therapy to clients in AB's home.

12. On September 4, 2025, the Board received an RAV from a detective with the Olathe Police Department. The RAV contained two (2) police reports from the Olathe Police Department regarding Respondent, dated September 4, 2025 and August 27, 2025.

13. The August 27, 2025 police report detailed a welfare check performed on Respondent in a hotel located in Olathe, Kansas in response to hotel staff reporting blood everywhere within the hotel room. According to the report, the responding officer was familiar with Respondent due to contact with Overland Park co-responders, involving a situation where Respondent was believed to be in a mental health crisis to include flooding a room of another hotel located in Overland Park and acting erratically.

14. According to the report, the Olathe Police Department responded to a report of blood all over the hotel room. Upon arrival and in response to questioning, Respondent was speaking rapidly and was confused. Respondent stated that she did not know how the blood occurred. Respondent stated that all she recalls is getting to the hotel late the previous night and renting a room. Respondent stated that she may have had someone over but wasn't sure who it would have been. Respondent further stated that she previously took a five (5) hour long shower where she must have dissociated and awoke to a housekeeper checking on her.

15. Following questioning from the Olathe Police Department, Respondent agreed to leave the hotel room, packed up her belongings and put them in her car. Following a discussion with the Olathe Police Department regarding the need for inpatient care, Respondent eventually agreed that she did need treatment and agreed to be transported to and checked into a local emergency room for treatment.

16. The September 4, 2025 police report detailed an online complaint submitted to the Olathe Police Department through Crime Stoppers. According to the police report, the complaint identified Respondent as someone who may be misusing or illegally soliciting prescription stimulants such as Adderall, and claimed that several people within the community raised concerns regarding Respondent purchasing Adderall from them to include a post on Respondent's business Facebook page that Respondent solicits pills, owes someone money for pills and is an "amphetamine addict."

17. The police report further corroborated the information provided by the RAVs submitted by GW and AB, to include Respondent's drug use and drug seeking behavior, erratic behavior and related continuing mental health issues.

18. The RAVs from GW and AB were sent to Respondent's last known preferred mailing address with a responsive deadline of October 2, 2025; however, Respondent did not respond. Thereafter, the RAVs were sent to Respondent's email address on file with the Board on October 14, 2025. Respondent did not respond to the RAVs.

19. Moreover, Respondent has been subject to a previous complaint, case no. 23-PC-0054, through which Respondent was provided with a proposed Consent Agreement and Final Order through a letter dated September 10, 2024. Following receipt of the letter, Respondent engaged in negotiations regarding the proposed Consent Agreement and Final Order with counsel for the Board; however, those negotiations were unsuccessful.

20. The Board hereby adopts the following findings of fact with respect to case no. 23-PC-0054:

a. Sindhuja Fedosyuk is currently, and was at all times relevant herein, a Licensed Professional Counselor within the meaning of the Professional Counselor Licensure Act, K.S.A. 65-5801 *et seq.*, and amendments thereto.

b. On or about October 7, 2022, the Board received a report of alleged violation concerning Respondent's conduct. The report alleged Respondent may have violated certain statutes and regulations governing unprofessional conduct.

c. The Board conducted an investigation concerning Respondent's alleged violations of statutes and regulations. During the investigation, Respondent was informed of the complaint and was given the opportunity to respond to the allegations. Respondent provided several responses via email.

d. Respondent was providing professional services to a minor client while using her LPC license. Services being provided included treatment for body image, potential eating disorder, and self-esteem issues.

e. On October 5, 2022, Respondent provided therapy to a minor 16-year-old client. At this therapy session, along with other activities, Respondent arranged for a 12-year-old client to be present and to do the 16-year-old client's nails. The 16-year-old client was directed to pay \$50 to the 12-year old minor client for this service. Respondent did the minor client's hair, trimmed her eyebrows, and used an eyebrow pencil on the minor client. The minor client did not want her hair or eyebrows done, but her therapist continued to do them anyway. Minor client's parent was charged for a 1 hour and 30-minute session. No release was provided to the Board for the 12-year-old client to be present during the therapy session of the 16-year-old client.

f. At a different therapy session, Respondent took minor client to a thrift store to shop. While at this therapy session, along with other activities, Respondent changed her pants and shoes at the store and left with new clothing items. Minor client's parent was charged for a 1 hour and 30-minute session. No release was provided to show that the parent of the minor client consented to this on-location experiential treatment.

g. In her response to the Board, Respondent states that the experiential treatment methods utilized for this minor client requires body interaction. She states the amount of time that was billed reflects the time that was spent in Respondent's office. Respondent states she is unsure if money was exchanged for the nail service. Further, Respondent states that she purchased shoes for herself while providing experiential therapy and shopping with the minor client.

APPLICABLE LAW

21. K.S.A. 77-536 of the Kansas Administrative Procedure Act ("KAPA") provides a specific grant of authority to a state agency to use emergency proceedings "[i]n a situation involving an immediate danger to the public health, safety or welfare requiring immediate state agency action," *to wit*:

(a) A state agency may use emergency proceedings: (1) In a situation involving an immediate danger to the public health, safety or welfare requiring immediate state agency action or (2) as otherwise provided by law.

(b) The state agency may take only such action as is necessary: (1) To prevent or avoid the immediate danger to the public health, safety or welfare that justifies use of emergency adjudication or (2) to remedy a situation for which use of emergency adjudication is otherwise provided by law.

(c) The state agency shall render an order, including a brief statement of findings of fact, conclusions of law and policy reasons for the decision if it is an exercise of the state agency's discretion, to justify the state agency's decision to take the specific action and the determination of: (1) An immediate danger or (2) the existence of a situation for which use of emergency adjudication is otherwise provided by law.

(d) The state agency shall give such notice as is practicable to persons who are required to comply with the order. The order is effective when rendered. Notice under this subsection shall constitute service for the purposes of the Kansas judicial review act.

(e) After issuing an order pursuant to this section, the state agency shall proceed as quickly as feasible to complete any proceedings that would be required if the matter did not justify the use of emergency proceedings under subsection (a).

(f) The state agency record consists of any documents regarding the matter that were considered or prepared by the state agency. The state agency shall maintain these documents as its official record.

(g) Unless otherwise required by a provision of law, the state agency record need not constitute the exclusive basis for state agency action in emergency proceedings or for judicial review thereof.

22. The Act authorizes the Board to condition, limit, revoke or suspend a license as a licensed marriage and family therapist, and/or publicly or privately censure a licensee or impose a fine not to exceed \$1,000 per violation upon a finding that a licensee violates any provision of K.S.A. 65-5809(a)(1) – (11).

23. K.S.A. 65-5809(a)(1), (5) and (9) provide in relevant part that the board may condition, limit, revoke or suspend a license, publicly or privately censure a licensee or may impose a fine not to exceed \$1,000 per violation upon a finding that a licensee:

.....

(1) Is incompetent to practice professional counseling. "Incompetent to practice professional counseling" means:

.....

(C) a pattern of practice or other behavior that demonstrates a manifest incapacity or incompetence to practice professional counseling;

.....

(5) has violated a provision of the professional counselors licensure act or one or more rules and regulations of the board;

.....

(9) has been found to have engaged in unprofessional conduct as defined by applicable rules and regulations adopted by the board;

24. K.S.A. 65-5803 provides that "no person shall engage in the practice of professional counseling as a clinical professional counselor or represent that such person is a licensed clinical professional counselor without first having obtained a license as a clinical professional counselor under the professional counselors licensure act."

25. K.S.A. 65-5802(e), (f) and (g) provide the following relevant definitions:

(e) "Licensed clinical professional counselor" means a person who engages in the independent practice of professional counseling including the diagnosis and treatment of mental disorders specified in the edition of the diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders of the American psychiatric association designated by the board by rules and regulations and who is licensed under this act.

(f) "Licensed professional counselor" means a person who is licensed under this act and who engages in the practice of professional counseling only under the direction of a licensed clinical professional counselor, a licensed psychologist, a person licensed to practice medicine and surgery or a person licensed to provide mental health services as an independent practitioner and whose licensure allows for the diagnosis and treatment of mental disorders.

(g) "Practice of professional counseling" means assisting an individual or group for a fee, monetary or otherwise, through counseling, assessment, consultation and referral and includes the diagnosis and treatment of mental disorders as authorized under the professional counselors licensure act.

26. Relevant to case no. 26-PC-0031, K.A.R. 102-3-12a(b)(8), (9), (10), and (46) provide in relevant part that the following acts by an LPC shall constitute unprofessional conduct:

(8) failing to recognize, seek intervention, and otherwise appropriately respond when one's own personal problems, psychosocial distress, or mental health difficulties interfere with or negatively impact professional judgment, professional performance and functioning, or the ability to act in the client's best interests;

(9) failing or refusing to cooperate in a timely manner with any request from the board for a response, information, or assistance with respect to the board's investigation of any report of an alleged violation filed against oneself or any other applicant or professional who is required to be licensed or registered by the board. Any person taking longer than 30 days to provide the requested response, information, or assistance shall have the burden of demonstrating that the person has acted in a timely manner;

(10) offering to perform or performing professional counseling, assessments, consultations, or referrals clearly inconsistent or incommensurate with one's training, education or experience or with accepted professional standards;

.....

(46) engaging in a dual relationship with a client, student, or supervisee;

27. Relevant to case no. 23-PC-0054, K.A.R. 102-3-12a(b)(10), (19), (20), (22), (27) and (46) provide in relevant part that the following acts by an LPC shall constitute unprofessional conduct:

(10) offering to perform or performing professional counseling, assessments, consultations, or referrals clearly inconsistent or incommensurate with one's training, education or experience or with accepted professional standards;

.....

(19) revealing information, a confidence, or a secret of any client, or failing to protect the confidences, secrets, or information contained in a client's records, except when at least one of these conditions is met;

(A) Disclosure is required or permitted by law;

(B) Failure to disclose the information presents a clear and present danger to the health or safety of an individual or the public; or

(C) The professional counselor or clinical professional counselor is a party to a civil, criminal, or disciplinary investigation or action arising from the

practice of professional counseling or clinical professional counseling, in which case disclosure is limited to that action;

(20) failing to obtain written, informed consent from each client, or the client's legal representative or representatives, before performing any of these actions... (C) releasing information concerning a client to a third person, except as required or permitted by law;

.....
(22) failing to exercise due diligence in protecting the information regarding and the confidences and secrets of the client from disclosure by other persons in one's work or practice setting;

.....
(27) exercising undue influence over any client, student, directee, or supervisee, including promoting sales of services or goods, in a manner that will exploit the client, student, directee, or supervisee for the financial gain, personal gratification, or advantage of oneself or a third party; and

.....
(46) engaging in a dual relationship with a client, student, or supervisee.

28. K.A.R. 102-3-1a(h) defines "dual relationship" as:

(h) "Dual relationship" means a professional relationship in which the objectivity or competency of the licensee is impaired or compromised because of any of the following present or previous relationships with the client or supervisee:

- (1) Familial;
- (2) sexual;
- (3) emotional; or
- (4) financial.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

29. The use of emergency proceedings pursuant to K.S.A. 77-536 are necessary to prevent or avoid immediate danger to the public health, safety or welfare. Respondent's mental health and related behavior as demonstrated through the RAVs to include the police reports from the Olathe Police Department, as laid out above, establish that Respondent's continued practice of professional counseling poses an immediate danger to the public health, safety or welfare, and that this order is necessary to safeguard the public from Respondent's practice.

30. Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to K.S.A. 65-5809(a)(1) as incompetent to practice professional counseling. Respondent's conduct as found herein constitutes a pattern of practice or other behavior that demonstrates a manifest incapacity or incompetence to practice professional counseling

31. Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to K.S.A. 65-5809(a)(5) for engaging in the clinical practice of professional counseling in violation of K.S.A. 65-5803, when Respondent was required to practice only under clinical direction.

32. Respondent is further subject to discipline pursuant to K.S.A. 65-5809(a)(9) for engaging in unprofessional conduct as defined in K.A.R. 102-3-12a(b)(8), (9), (10), and (46). Respondent has failed to recognize, seek intervention or otherwise appropriately respond to her own personal problems, psychosocial distress and/mental health difficulties which have interfered or negatively impacted her professional judgment, performance and functioning, and her ability to act in her client's best interests. Respondent did not respond to the RAVs from the Board and has therefore failed to cooperate with a request from the Board in connection with the investigation performed into the RAVs. Respondent has performed professional counseling services clearly inconsistent or incommensurate with her training, education or experience or with accepted professional standards. Finally, Respondent has engaged in a dual relationship with a client as evidenced by moving in with her client.

33. In regard to case no. 23-PC-0054, Respondent is subject to discipline pursuant to K.S.A. 65-5809(a)(9) for engaging in unprofessional conduct as defined in K.A.R. 102-3-12a(b)(10), (19), (20), (22), (27) and (46). Respondent provided services for a minor client clearly inconsistent or incommensurate with her training, education or experience or with accepted professional standards. Respondent provided such services in a manner which revealed confidential information of the minor client with others, failed to obtain written consent from the minor's parent or guardian to make such disclosure and failed to exercise due diligence in protecting the information of the minor client. Finally, Respondent engaged in a dual relationship with the minor client as evidenced by the financial transactions facilitated between minor clients.

34. In accordance with K.S.A. 77-536, the findings of fact, conclusions of law and policy reasons stated herein demonstrate that in the discretion of the Board, the action implemented by this Emergency Proceeding Order is necessary to protect the public from the immediate danger posed by Respondent's continued practice of professional counseling.

35. Following the entry of this Emergency Proceeding Order, the Board shall proceed as quickly as feasible to complete any proceedings required if the matter did not justify the use of emergency proceedings under K.S.A. 77-536(a).

ORDER

WHEREFORE, in consideration of the above findings of fact and conclusions of law, and pursuant to the authority granted by K.S.A. 65-5809(a) and K.S.A. 77-536, the Complaint Review Committee on behalf of the Board hereby finds and orders that Respondent's Kansas Licensed Professional Counselor license is hereby REVOKED immediately subject to further proceedings in accordance with K.S.A. 77-536(e), KAPA and the Kansas Judicial Review Act, K.S.A. 77-601 et seq.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Entered this 12 day January, 2026.



Mary Jones, Chairperson
Kansas Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board
Complaint Review Committee

NOTICE OF JUDICIAL RELIEF

This Order is effective immediately when rendered. Pursuant to K.S.A 77-536(d), notice is being provided to all persons who are required to comply with this Order. This Notice shall constitute service for the purposes of the Kansas Judicial Review Act, K.S.A. 77-601 et seq.

A party may file within 30 days from the date of this Emergency Proceeding Order of Revocation a petition for judicial review with the appropriate district court as provided in the Kansas Judicial Review Act, K.S.A. 77-601 *et seq.* The designee who may receive service of a petition for judicial review on behalf of the Board is:

David Fye, Executive Director
Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board
Eisenhower State Office Building
700 SW Harrison, Suite 420
Topeka, Kansas 66603-3240

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this 12 day of January, 2026, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing Emergency Proceeding Order of Revocation was placed in the U.S. mail, first-class postage prepaid, addressed to:

Sindhuja Fedosyuk


And by e-mail to:

Sindhuja Fedosyuk


Timothy D. Resner
Frieden & Forbes, LLP
1414 SW Ashworth Place, Suite 201
Topeka, KS 66604
tresner@fflawllp.com
Counsel for the Board of the Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board



Staff,
Kansas Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board