

**Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board
Master's Level Psychology Advisory Committee Meeting
December 3, 2025
Approved Minutes**

- I. Call to Order.** The meeting was called to order by David Anderson, Chair of the Advisory Committee, at 1:00 p.m.

Master's Level Psychology Advisory Committee Members. Advisory Committee Members who participated by Zoom or phone were David Anderson, David Stevens, Ginger Hill, Rebecca Jabara, Lauren Lucht, Sarah McMillen, Iris Pauly, Robert Pedroza, Katelynn Reed, Jennifer Schreiner, and Joshua Tanguay. Elizabeth Burch was absent.

BSRB Staff. BSRB staff members who participated by Zoom included David Fye and Leslie Allen.

- II. Review and Approval of the Agenda or Additions to the Agenda.** Ginger Hill moved to approve the agenda. Lauren Lucht seconded. The motion passed.
- III. Welcome New Advisory Committee Member Katelynn Reed.** The Advisory Committee welcomed new Advisory Committee member, Katelynn Reed.
- IV. Review and Approval of Minutes from Advisory Committee Meeting on October 1, 2025.** David Stevens moved to approve the minutes from the Advisory Committee meeting on October 1, 2025. Ginger Hill seconded. The motion passed.
- V. Executive Director's Report.** The Executive Director reported on agency operations, legislative updates, recent Board meetings, upcoming meetings, and the upcoming implementation of background checks.
- VI. Old Business.**

A. Continued Review and Discussion on Draft Survey Report of Licensees. The Executive Director previously asked Advisory Committee to review and discuss survey responses from licensees.

- i. **Additional Ways the BSRB Could Protect and Serve Consumers of Services Offered by BSRB Licensees (page 21 and pages 62-68).**

Advisory Committee members noted that out of 88 responses from LMLPs, 50 of them stated that there were no additional ways in which the BSRB could better protect and serve consumers of services offered by BSRB licensees. Insurance and reimbursement issues, as well as licensees getting the ability to practice outside state lines, were mentioned several times by respondents. Advisory Committee members noted licensees expressed the desire to practice more easily between states, but since states are sovereign, they have control over who can practice into each state. It

was discussed that it may be beneficial for the BSRB to explain to licensees that the Board has limited control over authorization to practice in other states. Other responses centered on offering alternative pathways to obtaining clinical licensure, such as being granted a clinical license after practicing for so many years. Several responses also complimented the BSRB and the work done by the Board and staff. Looking at responses from LCPs, Advisory Committee members noted that of the 94 responses, 60 individuals reported having no additional recommended ways in which the BSRB can protect and serve consumers of services offered by BSRB licensees. Of those who did provide comments, Advisory Committee members highlighted comments about insurance reimbursements, the desire for a multi-state compact, and concerns regarding the EPPP. There were also positive remarks made about the BSRB. Advisory Committee members discussed comments regarding the BSRB's customer service and complimented BSRB staff in this regard. It was also brought up that LCPs can now get reimbursed through Medicare.

- ii. **Additional Comments and Feedback (page 22 and pages 69-75).** Advisory Committee members discussed LMLP responses focused on concerns surrounding the EPPP-2, concerns about the cost of supervision, insurance and reimbursement issues, the shortage of providers, and the ability to practice independently after being licensed for a certain length of time. Advisory Committee members highlighted some general positive comments made to the BSRB. LCP responses centered around insurance and reimbursement issues, a need for better recognition of the profession, and a desire for a multi-state compact. The Executive Director noted that the final survey report should be posted on the BSRB website sometime in the near future.

B. Continued Review of Regulations.

- i. **K.A.R. 102-4-6a Academically Supervised Practicum.** Leslie Allen, Assistant Director and Licensing Manager for the BSRB, recommended including internships as well as practicums in the regulation language. There was also a recommendation that references to "face-to-face" and "on-site" be stricken from the regulation since supervision can now be conducted via Zoom or other related means. At the last meeting, there was a discussion around when supervisors do not recommend applicants for licensure and what that process looks like, but no recommendations were made on that topic. By consensus, the Advisory Committee recommended forwarding to the Board adding "internships" to the language, as well as striking references to "face-to-face" and "on-site."
- ii. **K.A.R. 102-4-14 Licensee to Consult with Physician When Determining Symptoms of Mental Disorders.** There were no recommended changes made to this regulation, but the Advisory Committee does want to revisit this regulation as well as its meaning and purpose.

iii. **K.A.R. 102-4-16 Services Rendered to Individuals Located in this State.** The Advisory Committee reviewed this regulation and there were no requests for changes at this time.

C. Continued Discussion on Regulation of Artificial Intelligence. The Executive Director provided Advisory Committee members with a handout he created with a summary of three laws passed by other states on the regulation of artificial intelligence. Illinois' bill bans AI-only therapy and makes professional oversight a requirement. This bill allows AI to be used in administrative tasks but cannot be used to make decisions or interact with clients therapeutically. Nevada's bill prohibits AI from providing mental and behavioral health care, and Utah's bill mandates safeguards. The plan is to continue to gather and discuss information regarding this topic. Ultimately, the Board will want to consider whether they want to take a position on AI or its utilization. One concern is whether insurance will pay for sessions if AI is utilized in any way.

D. Continued Discussion on Alternative Pathways to Licensure. The Executive Director provided an overview of information he had gathered related to master's level licensure in other states. 17 states currently license master's level psychologists, though by different topics of various scopes of practice. The Executive Director noted BSRB staff researched the EPPP pass rate for master's level licensure in different states. Alaska and Michigan have a 450 pass rate and North Carolina's pass rate is 440, but some states also require a 500 pass rate for licensure at the master's level. The Executive Director will continue researching this topic and bring information back to future Advisory Committee meetings for discussion.

VII. New Business

A. Discussion on Advisory Committee Discussion Topics for 2026. The Executive Director reported that Texas is researching the feasibility of creating a new licensing examination for psychologists, and the Advisory Committee wants to stay updated on that topic. Advisory Committee members were asked to send any discussion topics of interest for 2026 to the Executive Director and Advisory Committee Chair.

B. Discussion on Date and Time for Meetings in 2026. The Advisory Committee will continue to meet on the first Wednesday of the month, every-other-month, at 1 pm.

VIII. Possible Additional Agenda Items. None.

IX. Next Meeting: February 4, 2026, at 1 pm.

X. Adjournment. Katelynn Reed moved to adjourn the meeting. Sarah McMillen seconded. The meeting was adjourned.