

**Behavioral Sciences Regulatory Board
Master's Level Psychology Advisory Committee Meeting
February 4, 2025**

APPROVED Minutes

- I. Call to Order.** The meeting was called to order by David Anderson, Chair of the Advisory Committee, at 1:00 p.m.

Master's Level Psychology Advisory Committee Members. Advisory Committee Members who participated by Zoom or phone were David Anderson, Elizabeth Burch, Ginger Hill, Rebecca Jabara, Lauren Lucht, Iris Pauly, Robert Pedroza, Katelynn Reed, Jennifer Schreiner, and Joshua Tanguay. David Stevens and Sarah McMillen were absent.

BSRB Staff. BSRB staff members who participated by Zoom included David Fye.
- II. Review and Approval of the Agenda or Additions to the Agenda.** There were no additions to the agenda.
- III. Update: Master's Level Psychology Program Accreditation by Dr. Brooke Mann.** Dr. Brooke Man provided an update on the accreditation of master's level psychology programs. Currently, they are in the process of developing program review practices and implementing initial accreditation reviews. Initially, four programs were accredited, and six applications were accepted in August 2025. In May 2026, six more applications will be accepted. APA has not set a minimum number of credit hours, but at least two years of study are required, nor have they set a minimum number of practicum/internship hours required. Additionally, programs are allowed to be fully online.
- IV. Review and Approval of Minutes from Advisory Committee Meeting on December 3, 2025.** Lauren Lucht moved to approve the minutes from the Advisory Committee meeting on December 3, 2025. Iris Pauly seconded. The motion passed.
- V. Executive Director's Report.** The Executive Director reported on agency operations, legislative updates, recent Board meetings, and upcoming meetings.
- VI. Old Business.**
 - A. Continued Review and Discussion on Draft Survey Report of Licensees.** The draft reports are being converted into final reports. Across all the surveys, there were about 4,000 responses (about a 25 percent response rate). The Executive Director asked Advisory Committee members if they had any thoughts on how the information from the surveys should be used to drive the work of the Advisory Committee. A comment was made about looking into the possibility of being able to provide telehealth to clients who are temporarily out of state for the sake of continuity of services. The Executive Director explained that the reason why this

is not allowed is because different states have different requirements, and every state has different restrictions about allowing in-state practice into the state. While the BSRB could allow someone to practice across state lines, the second state would also be able to set requirements. There was discussion about providing clarification on what the BSRB does and does not have authority over (such as reimbursements). Coming up with a way to make it easier for individuals to find supervisors was also brought up. There was also discussion about the EPPP licensing examination. The Executive Director stated that there is currently discussion about ASPPB potentially developing a licensing examination specifically for the master's level psychology profession. Additional safeguards to ensure that new applicants are fit to practice was also mentioned.

B. Continued Review of Regulations

- i. **K.A.R. 102-4-14 Licensee to Consult with Physician When Determining Symptoms of Mental Disorders.** This regulation involves coordination of care. Across the seven Advisory Committees, many comments were made regarding not hearing back from doctors when sending messages to try to coordinate care, and that it would be helpful if there was a responsibility from the medical profession to respond back.

C. Continued Discussion on Regulation of Artificial Intelligence. By consensus, the Advisory Committee recommended the following recommendations to the Board:

- i. If an individual is using artificial intelligence in practice, there should be informed consent, so clients are being notified that AI is being used.
- ii. If an individual is using AI in practice, they must take safeguards to ensure that they are keeping confidential information confidential and secure.
- iii. There should be a way for clients to opt out if they do not wish for AI to be used as part of the services that they are receiving.

D. Continued Discussion on Alternative Pathways to Licensure. The Advisory Committee wants to stay updated on the conversation of potentially developing a separate licensing examination specifically for the master's level psychology profession. There was discussion regarding whether individuals should need to pass the EPPP at the 500 level for LCP licensure. Joshua Tanguay volunteered to gather some information to bring back to a future meeting for review.

VII. New Business

- A. Discussion on Advisory Committee Discussion Topics for 2026.** One area of interest to look into is the areas where LMLPs and LCPs are most often having complaints lodged against them. The Executive Director was asked if he could record one of the presentations that he and the Assistant Director provide to students. Looking at the model law for the accreditation of master's level psychology programs was another recommended discussion topic for 2026.

VIII. Possible Additional Agenda Items. None.

IX. Next Meeting: April 1, 2026, at 1 pm.

X. Adjournment. Lauren Lucht moved to adjourn the meeting. Joshua Tanguay seconded. The meeting was adjourned.